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Reviewer’s report:

This present meta-analysis reports frailty constitutes addressable and significant prognostic value for adverse events in elderly patients after ACS. This review is well written and clearly presented.

Major comments:

1. Seven assessment tools of frailty are mentioned in the manuscript (line 144-154), how do these assessment methods evaluate the "prefrailty" in the subgroup analysis?

2. Please check the references in the paper, in literature search and study characteristics, the studies with Ref.'20,21' were not described in this section.

3. Spelling mistake in line 117, "'on-ST-segment' should be 'non-ST-segment'".

4. Line 223 and 231 shows "test for interaction: P < 0.05" which is inconsistent with the result description and "Statistical analysis" (line 122).

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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