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Author’s response to reviews:

Dear editors:

Thank you very much for your letter concerning our manuscript entitled “Prognostic value of frailty in elderly patients with acute coronary syndrome: A systematic review and meta-analysis”. We accepted your opinion completely and made corresponding corrections in the manuscript.

Editor Comments:

1. In the Authors’ contributions section, please refer to all authors by their initials rather than full names. Please also include a statement indicating that all authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript.
Response: We accepted the editor’s suggestion and made the corresponding changes in the article (Line 351-356).

2. In your Funding section, please also state the role of the funding body in the design of the study; collection, analysis, and interpretation of data; and in writing the manuscript.

Response: We accepted the editor’s suggestion and made the corresponding changes in the article (Line 359-369).

3. Please remove the extra “Conflicts of Interest” section from the Declarations.

Response: We agreed with the editor’s suggestion and removed the extra “Conflicts of Interest” section from the Declarations.

4. We note that the current submission contains some textual overlap with other previously published works, in particular:

Methods –


Response: We accepted the editor’s suggestion and deleted the content of the Newcastle-Ottawa Quality Assessment Scale to avoid textual overlap (Line 97-99).

Discussion -


Response: We accepted the editor’s suggestion and reformulated the corresponding section (Line 247-248).

Response: We accepted the editor’s suggestion and reformulated the corresponding section (Line 277-280).

5. At this stage, please upload your manuscript as a single, final, clean version that does not contain any tracked changes, comments, highlights, strikethroughs or text in different colors. All relevant tables/figures/additional files should also be clean versions. Figures (and additional files) should remain uploaded as separate files.

Response: We accepted the editor’s suggestion and uploaded all the files as clean versions.

We deeply appreciate your strict and careful work, and hope that the corrections will meet with requirements.

Once again thank you very much for your work.

Best regards!

Yours sincerely

Qingyu Dou