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Dear Editor-in-Chief,

We hereby submit a revised version of the paper “Was it worth it? Older adults’ experiences of participating in a population-based cohort study – a focus group study” (BGTC-D-18-00479). We have omitted the duplication in the discussion according to the reviewers comments. We totally agree that it is impractical to rewrite or omit the methodology to avoid overlap with previous owner publications. We have not used methodology from a previously published work as every study is unique. However, all publications share the same basic theoretical assumptions that focus group methodology stands for, which we have referred to.

We confirm that this manuscript has not been published elsewhere and is not under consideration by another journal. The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare. All authors have approved the manuscript and agree with submission to the journal of BMC Geriatrics.

Please address all correspondence concerning this manuscript to me at synneve.dahlin-ivanoff@gu.se

Thank you for your consideration of this manuscript.
On behalf of all authors
Synneve Dahlin Ivanoff

Professor,
Centre for Ageing and Health, AGECAP
Department of Health and Rehabilitation,
University of Gothenburg Sweden