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Reviewer's report:

Thank you for submitting this valuable article.

I have several items that I feel need clarification:

1) You have used several tools e.g. the MNA, can you please indicate if these tools were used in their original form or if they were translated? If they were translated, were they culturally adapted and validated?

2) I suggest defining what you mean by "affluent", this word can mean different things to different people.

3) How did you determine that age 60 and older was "old age"?

4) I see that you have defined all abbreviations at the end of the text. I feel it would be helpful if the first time used in the text they were defined. The reader must flip to the end of the paper to know what the abbreviations mean.

5) In the results section line 206-213, can you please state in the text if these findings were significant.

6) Line 257: you claim that improving vision will improve nutrition. This is a big claim, I would soften it and say that by improving eye care, there is a potential that the secondary effect will be improved nutrition among the visibly impaired population.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.
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**Does the work include the necessary controls?**
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

**Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?**
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.
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**Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?**
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics

**Quality of written English**
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
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