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Reviewer's report:

Thank you for addressing the majority of the comments made in the first review of your paper. What is still missing, is a more clear exposition of - if you like - a real life use case of this intervention at play. It is clear the people had to wear a camera etc and then images had to be uploaded, but what actual benefit to the participants accrued from the use of such an intervention? There is no measure of the quality of the images or the value to the participants from reviewing them. There is much discussion about a section on limitations but there is no actual section on limitations! Please add a separate 'Limitations of the study' section and transfer the paragraphs from the Discussions section that relate to limitations to this new section. Then please write some paragraphs to address my comment above.
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