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The fracture predictive value for a musculoskeletal composite score in old men

General comments

This study addresses an important subject and has a robust design. The manuscript is very well written and easy to follow. The use of the word "elderly" should be changed throughout the manuscript to "older" (http://www.bcli.org/older-adult-older-person).

Title

Describes the study well.

Abstract

Very well written and clear.

Introduction

Gives a good view of the topic.

Aim

Clear.

Method

Well described.
Results
Well written

Discussion
Thorough and well written

Conclusion
Well written

References
Appropriate

Tables
Clear and easy to read

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
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Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.
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Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.
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