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Dear colleagues,

Thank you for submitting your paper to BMC Geriatrics.

I’ve following remarks:

1. Please report in the abstract the mean ± SD age of your study participants and the gender proportion.

2. Please correct the whole paper including the abstract for correct capitalization.

3. The last sentence of the abstract conclusion should be explained more in the main text, espec. the case that you perform a CGA without following interventions.

4. After introducing CGA as an abbreviation please use this throughout the text.

5. You report that additional dentist and pharmacy assessment were offered but not how often it was used. The same is true for physiotherapy, dietitian and psychology.

6. Please report the CGA domains and instruments used in your study.

7. Explain more how you find and attract the study participants for participation. E.g. what is the Sage-atAge program. How many persons are contacted, how many agreed?
8. Please don’t use different abbreviations (MS or MF) for the same author.

9. Please refer to Table 1, Table 2, Figure 1 instead of "listed in a table"…

10. Please check for missing blank keys, e.g. in "embedding in literature".

11. As you refer to CGA I wonder that you not discuss the results of the Cochrane Review.

12. Don’t use the term screening when you mean assessment.

13. Please check the reference section for correct (non-)capitalization and full citation data, espec. no 2,5,6,8,9,11,15,17,20,22,47,52

14. Tables: Please provide a table with baseline data of participants and CGA results.

Kind regards

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

No

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

Not relevant to this manuscript
Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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