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Reviewer’s report:

The study is interesting and has some potentials. I have some minor comments to share with the authors.

1. Samples: Is the sample randomly selected? What is the nonresponse rate?

2. Age distribution: Consider combining 75-84 and 85+ into 75+ since the sample size is too small for 85+.

3. Table 2: The visual acuity after the second eye surgery is negative. Can be the score below zero? Not sure.

4. Also Table 2: in the table note, the text "* significant at p<0.01" makes less sense since each p value is already shown in the table.

5. Table 3: The Odds Ratio for aged 65-74 is 0.1 and 95% CI is 0.0-0.7, but p value is 0.116. These statistics are contradictory. Please double check it. To me, p value goes above 0.1 or above 0.05 only when the 95% CI of an odds ratios includes 1.0.

6. Table 4: Some issue as for Table 3.

7. Please proofread the entire paper, I spotted a couple of places with grammatical error.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.
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**Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?**
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.
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