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Author’s response to reviews:
Thank you for including your ethical approval documents. Please remove them from the manuscript and forward copies of all ethical approval and funding approval as email attachments to the following email address: priences.ducot@biomedcentral.com Please confirm in your cover letter that you have forwarded the requested documentation to priences.ducot@biomedcentral.com

A study is considered to be externally funded if the authors have been awarded a grant for the study by a major funding body (e.g. governmental funding/award from a charitable foundation). If a study has not received external funding, then the study protocol will be sent for peer-review with a member of our Editorial Board. If a study has received funding/assistance from a commercial organization, this should be clearly stated in the 'competing interests' section of your manuscript, and the study protocol will be sent for peer-review by a member of our Editorial Board. Please confirm whether your study protocol has undergone peer-review by the funding body.

- I can confirm the funding body undertook peer review. These have also been sent to Priences.

The protocol must be for a study that is ongoing. An ‘ongoing’ study is defined as one where the investigators are still collecting or analyzing data. Please confirm what stage your study is currently at. Please also include a timeline for the study in your manuscript.

- I can confirm the study is ongoing, having started on 3rd January 2017. I have updated the manuscript to indicate the timeline (under Data Collection, p6).

Please clarify whether any publications containing the results of this study have already been published or submitted to any journal. If so, please provide a list of the related articles.

- No results from this study have been published.

Please include the email addresses of all authors on the title page.

- Now included

Please note that the author names in your manuscript file differ from those entered in the submission system - please correct so they are consistent with each other. We have noticed that Dr. Melanie Romain has been included in the main manuscript but not in the submission system.
- Corrected, thank you.

Please include a List of abbreviations after the Discussion.
- Done

Please move the Declarations section to after the List of abbreviations.
- Done

Please summarize the patient consent to participate in the Ethical approval and consent to participate statement in the Declarations.
- Added

Please number and include your tables in the order they are referenced in the manuscript.
- Corrected

Please ensure that your manuscript adheres to the relevant portions of the STROBE guidelines for reporting observational studies (http://www.strobe-statement.org/) and please include a completed STROBE checklist as an additional file.
- Enclosed for items 1-12 only.