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Author’s response to reviews:

1. All focus group information in the manuscript now mentioned as singular. The participants were all older adults aged 65 and over of which some were carers including both males and females. The amendments have been made in the method section of the abstract, in the methods section of the main article where it was amended in Table 2 title heading and in the first paragraph just below Table 2. The last amendment was in the discussion section in the first paragraph.

2. It has been mentioned now that some domains did not emerge from the focus group due to its small size. This amendment is in the discussion section in he first paragraph.

3. Outcome measures amended to outcome domains in Table 6 as suggested by reviewer.

4. This point has been addressed already in paragraph 5 in the discussion section. The point about these being preliminary is accepted in the sense that any first recommendations is always preliminary with the potential to be improved upon. We have already made a general statement about our recommendations being the first of its kind with potential to be improved upon in the future.

We are grateful to the reviewer for all the additional comments and suggestions which we believe has made the article even better.