Reviewer’s report

Title: Couple-kissing flaps for successful repair of severe sacral pressure ulcers in frail elderly patients

Version: 0 Date: 22 Oct 2017

Reviewer: Vincent Staggs

Reviewer's report:
Thank you for the opportunity to review this work. The authors describe successful CKF repair of severe sacral pressure ulcers for 12 elderly patients and report follow-up observations. As a non-clinician I limit my comments to the research aspect of the manuscript.

Introduction. It would be helpful to provide the prevalence rate of *severe* pressure ulcers to support the claim that their prevention is a "major global public healthcare issue."

Results, P7; Summary, P12. The recurrence rate after CKF repair would seem to depend in part on whether patients remained bedridden after discharge, and whether nursing care was provided at home (or wherever patient was discharged to). Without this information it is difficult to draw conclusions about what the recurrence rate would be for patients who continue to be bedridden. It is also unclear what the recurrence rate would be for patients who remain hospitalized. Providing more details about patients' post-discharge conditions would be helpful.

Discussion. P11, line 45. Please provide support for the claim that recurrence with CKF is lower than with other methods, and specify under what conditions (hospitalization, bedridden, etc.).

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes
Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.
Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.
Not relevant to this manuscript

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
Acceptable
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