Reviewer's report

Title: Factors associated with Consumption of Alcohol in Older Adults - a Comparison between Two Cultures, China and Norway: The CLHLS and the HUNT-Study

Version: 0 Date: 11 Mar 2017

Reviewer: Danan Gu

Reviewer's report:

I think the authors have addressed all my comments.

1. suggest dropping those samples aged 110 or older (or in their paper, drop the sentence "... with age range from 65-116 years"). It would be fine as long as the authors note the sample in the text that is the population aged 65 year old or older.

2. It is difficult to understand "Consumption of alcohol was the case with the participant answered "yes" ". I am not sure whether this sentence is incomplete (i.e., there should have another sentence to follow?)

3. Please double check the statement ".... While the alcohol consumption level in China was lower than European and American regions". Please also add a citation to support it.

4. Head "Conclusion" should be "Conclusions".

5. Suggest replacing "Multiple logistic regression..." with "Multivariable logistic regression..." "Multiple" can mean many bivariate regression models, which is not the case of the present study. Many studies use "multivariates", which is wrong since multivariates include many dependent variables. Some statisticians suggest using the term "multivariable" to represent logistic regression models that include many independent variables, which is the case of the present study.
Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.
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Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.
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Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
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