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Reviewer’s report:

The Authors addressed most of the comments adequately. I’ve two remaining issues. First the numbers of participants in the abstract does not correspond with the numbers in the manuscript. In the abstract it is stated that 115 participated, with 67 in the SCU and 48 control; in the method section it is stated that out of 186 elegible participants 145 signed informed consent with 77 in the SCU and 68 Controls. Please address this issue!

Second line 44-line 49 of the Limitations in the discussion section must be rewritten or even removed as it is contradicatory with the previous sentences; if 93% and 66% of the elegible 145 participant at the SCU signed informed consent than I would say this field study did not have a limited amount of potential participants!

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.
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