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Reviewer's report:

This is a very interested paper about validity of a measurement of frailty in adults with intellectual and developmental disabilities (IDD). This topic is no common in Journals. The study design to enhance the quality of the results presented. Discussion is

ABSTRACT:

* The main objective of the study is presented.
* Statistical method used should be provided.
* The conclusion highlights the contribution of this work.

METHODS:

Study design and study population are clearly described.

RESULTS:

Four tables and two figures are well presented. Results are presented clearly.
DISCUSSION:

Study limitations and implications were identified.

Conclusions emphasizing the need to use an Frailty Index in this population very vulnerable.
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There were 71 and all are appropriate and support the manuscript.

Thanks for letting me review this manuscript.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
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Does the work include the necessary controls?
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Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?

If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?

If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.
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