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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Editor’s comment</th>
<th>Answer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 “The use of general practice and practice should be consistent”</td>
<td>The term “practice” has been changed into “general practice”, where appropriate, throughout the manuscript (lines: 70, 76, 99, 172, and 288).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 I am uncomfortable with the sentence “Even though direct comparisons are difficult due to the different health systems, our data suggest 226 that the prevalence of any HRM is slightly higher in the UK than in the US”</td>
<td>This sentence has been deleted (see lines 226 and 227 of the manuscript).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>