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Reviewer's report:

Dear,

Listed below are some points to help.

Kind regards,

H.

BMC’S POINTS

1. Is the question posed by the authors well defined?
   It is a good paper.

2. Are the methods appropriate and well described?
   Yes

3. Are the data sound?
   Yes

4. Do the figures appear to be genuine, i.e. without evidence of manipulation?
   Yes

5. Does the manuscript adhere to the relevant standards for reporting and data deposition?
   Yes

6. Are the discussion and conclusions well balanced and adequately supported by the data?
   Yes

7. Are limitations of the work clearly stated?
   Yes

8. Do the authors clearly acknowledge any work upon which they are building, both published and unpublished?
   Yes
9. Do the title and abstract accurately convey what has been found? Yes
10. Is the writing acceptable? Yes

MAJOR COMPULSORY REVISIONS
Line 56 – Aren’t there indications to prescribe antidepressants for sleep or anxiety problems? Please, try to explain better.

MINOR ESSENTIAL REVISIONS
a. Line 99: There are a better place for this expression “fewer than five persons…”? Is it results?
b. Line 225-230: Are there reference?
c. Wrong use:
   line 31 – It is necessary to change the expression “psychotropic drugs (anxiolytics…” (suggestion: “psychotropic drugs: anxiolytics…”)
d. Is it important more references after 2012? (2013, 2014 or 2015). The revision stopped when the data were collected in 2012. Are there more references?

DISCRETIONARY REVISIONS
a. Keywords: “global health”?
b. Is possible to build a fluxogram with your results?
c. More brazilian references:
d. About psychotropics:
e. About antidepressants:
f. About benzodiazepines

http://www.karger.com/Article/FullText/353599
(Clinical discussion)

(Other side)
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