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Author's response to reviews:

Dear Editor,

According to the comments of the Reviewers, we carefully revised our manuscript with main changes listed as follows:

Reviewer 1: The authors answered the reviewers requests.

Answer: Thanks for the nice comments from the reviewer 1.

Reviewer 2: The authors have responded sufficiently to all previous comments. The English of the manuscript is much improved! And the conclusion now reflects the importance of these cases. My only remaining critique is the sentence (under Case 1): "The pancreatic neuroendocrine tumor was initially diagnosed as another benign tumor." I'm not clear what this means - was the lesion felt to be a neuroendocrine tumor which turned out to be a misdiagnosis after the histology was reviewed from surgical resection? Is that why the patient opted for surgical resection? Was neuroendocrine tumor in the differential?

Answer: Thanks for the nice comments from the reviewer 2. The sentence "The pancreatic neuroendocrine tumor was initially diagnosed as another benign tumor" was actually a misprint, therefore, it has been modified as "The pancreatic neuroendocrine tumor was initially diagnosed" (Case1, line 7-9, page 3). The neuroendocrine tumor has also been mentioned in the discussion (Discussion, line 5-7, page 5 ).