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Reviewer's report:

Thank you for the opportunity to review this rare and interesting case report. This is a well written and clear report.

I have few comments:

1) was your reconstruction a Roux-en-Y or a BII?

2) Cab you elaborate on the choice between reaction vs. resection to prevent recurrences? In the bariatric literature there is a clear advantage of resection vs. placation's. reduction.

3) You mention similarities to bariatric patients, however their intussusception it is not in the stomach but jejunum. The line 45 should be corrected.

4) one of the contributors to intussusception in post bariatric surgery is the laxity of mesentery secondary to visceral fat loss. Any role in non bariatric cases?

Thank you again

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.
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Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.
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Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.
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