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Reviewer's report:

This case report was written about jejunogastric intussusception after Whipple procedure. This manuscript states some important issues regarding postoperative complications after Whipple procedure. However, additional discussion would be required to confirm this conclusion. Therefore, authors would clarify several matters that mentioned below to confirm these conclusions.

1. Authors reported that jejunogastric intussusception occurred after Whipple procedure. As authors say, it might be difficult to clarify the etiology of jejunogastric intussusception. However, were there some problems during reconstruction of Whipple procedure when looking back at reconstruction retrospectively? Moreover, afferent limb seems not to be dilated regardless of jejunogastric intussusception of efferent limb. Could authors show the figure for reconstruction of Whipple procedure with Roux-en Y reconstruction: at first stage and discuss about reconstruction?

2. Is jejunogastric intussusception associated with the size of anastomosis? In fig.2, jejunogastric anastomosis seems to be too wide. Could authors review any literatures about the correlation between the size of anastomosis and jejunogastric intussusception?

3. A case report about complications should be a guide and a lesson for someone who may experience the same complication. Unfortunately, some figures to show jejunogastric intussusception are poor. Could you add any figure of CT to understand jejunogastric intussusception well? Additionally, do authors have some pictures of intestinal loop after reducing the intussuscepted loop?

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

No

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

No

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

No

**Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?**

If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

Not relevant to this manuscript

**Quality of written English**

Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
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