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Reviewer's report:

This is a systematic review and meta-analysis article to evaluate the effectiveness and safety of OTSC system in patients with GI bleeding. The article was well written and addressed the important issue about treatment of non-variceal gastrointestinal (GI) bleeding. However, there are major epidemiologic/analytic/study design problems that need to be addressed.

1. In this field, there several similar articles had talked about this issue. (1-3) The author's conclusion was like other publish papers but their inclusion articles and patients was much more. Authors may consider search again for find more studies.
2. Since OTSCs is invasive procedure, the adverse events post OTSCs may need further considered. Such as perforation or obstruction after OTSCs and some papers had mentions these adverse events.
3. If possible, may consider add subgroup analysis in studies to identified some parameters effect in the OTSC treatment in UNVGIB (such as lesion characteristics, study location, study times….)
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Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.
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Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.
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Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
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Quality of written English
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