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Reviewer's report:

This is a retrospective, non-randomized comparative study regarding the safety and efficacy of injection solution in a single center, by using a propensity-score matching. The followings may be taken into consideration.

1. This is a comparative study, and the aim of this study is to compare the safety and efficacy of two injection solution in EMR. Therefore, the conclusion should be stated according to the aim, such as "two solutions were considered to be both safe and effective in colorectal EMR…"

2. In the aim of abstract, the subjective should be limited to in cases with antithrombotic agents to avoid misleading the readers.

3. The reason for the imbalance in operators of procedures between the groups will be briefly mentioned.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.
I am able to assess the statistics

**Quality of written English**
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
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