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Response to Editor and Reviewers
BMGE-D-19-00428R2
Prognoses of different pathological subtypes of colorectal cancer at different stages: A population-based retrospective cohort study.
On behalf of myself and the coauthors, many thanks for your helpful review of our manuscript. In accordance with the suggestions please find below the replies to questions and comments posed. More details are described in the resubmission. We have submitted a clean version of our manuscript and do not include track changes or highlighting. We thank you again for taking time to consider our submission, and are confident that the changes applied through these suggestions significantly improve the standard of the document.

Sincerely yours,
Shaotang Li

Editor:
Response: Thanks for your suggestions. We have provided the items

Editor Comments:
1. Role of Funders
Please note the role(s) of the funding body (bodies) in the design of the study and collection, analysis, and interpretation of data and in writing the manuscript, which should be declared in the Funding section.
Response: Thanks for your suggestions. It has been declared in the Funding section.

2. Author initials
In the “Authors’ contributions” section of the Declarations, please ensure initials match their provided names in the author list for this manuscript, in the correct order. For instance, an author named John Steven Doe, their initials should be represented as JSD in the “Authors’ contributions”; an author named Jane Ann Doe should be referred to as JAD.
Response: Thanks for your suggestions. It is ok.

3. Authors’ approval
Please include a statement in the Authors' contributions section to the effect that all authors have read and approved the manuscript in its current state.
Response: Thanks for your suggestions. It has been declared in the contributions section.

4. Figures
Please ensure Figures 2 and 3 are labelled in the manuscript, and ensure that these labels match how they are referred to in the Figure Legend and in the body of text.
Response: Thanks for your suggestions. It is ok.

5. Clean manuscript
At this stage, please upload your manuscript as a single, final, clean version that does not contain any tracked changes, comments, highlights, strikethroughs or text in different colours. All relevant tables/figures/additional files should also be clean versions. Figures (and additional files) should remain uploaded as separate files.
Response: Thanks for your suggestions. It is ok.