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Reviewer's report:

The authors present a clinical case entitled: "Vitamin K deficiency induced haemorrhagic shock after thoracentesis: a case report". The case is relevant. However, I believe that this paper would be improved if the authors would consider the following:

1. The authors should specify the value of coagulation tests (activated partial thromboplastine time...) before and after the haemorrhagic complication and in blood examination on day four.

2. How much pleural fluid was evacuated on days 13 and 17? Which was the procedure used to drain the pleural fluid? Did they use ultrasound to lead the procedures? They should specify these details and the biochemical characteristics of the pleural fluid.

3. Figures 1-4 are redundant; I think that only one or two should be selected.

4. The authors conclude, "When thoracentesis is performed, abnormalities in the path of the intercostal artery should be identified". What do the authors propose to do? I think the most important conclusion is the measurement of coagulation function and supplementations of vitamin K are necessary in high-risk patients, as the authors suggest too.
Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.
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Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

No

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.
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Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.
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