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Reviewer's report:

A case of severe and refractory post-colectomy enteritis with review of literature”. The case is interesting but there are numerous things that needs to be revised in my opinion. The English language needs to be revised by a native English speaking.

It is right now quite difficult to follow the history of the case after IPAA surgery was performed.

1. Was the inflammation in the diverted pouch only or truly a pan enteritis? If it was the previous I can understand why a closure of the stoma would possibly work but not in the case of a pan enteritis.

2. Were the stool cultures taken from the diverting ileostomy and/or from the diverted pouch? E.g. a bacterial over growth in the diverted pouch has triggered the inflammation?

3. The included endoscopic findings seems a bit unclear and I would like to have seen the diverted pouch endoscopically as well as histologically and compared with the ileum proximal of the stoma.

4. Was it ever tried to feed the diverted pouch with luminal content, nutrition or at least rinsed?

5. Apart from stool cultures, were there viral analyses performed, especially as she previously was suffering from CMV?

6. Were she on any medications prior to admittance, e.g. NSAID:s that can alter the mucosal barrier?

7. Please discuss if this could have been a secondary reaction to a long term electrolyte and/or fluid deficit due to border limit high stoma output for an extended time leading to secondary intestinal failure?

8. The discussion lacks a discussion on possible causes and why a closure of the stoma was discussed as well as why it might have worked.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.
No

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

No

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

Not relevant to this manuscript

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Not suitable for publication unless extensively edited
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