Reviewer’s report

Title: Small Bowel Adenocarcinoma As a Complication of Celiac Disease: Clinical and Diagnostic Features

Version: 0 Date: 29 Dec 2018

Reviewer: Thomas Aparicio

Reviewer's report:

It is an interesting cases reports of 5 SBA occuring in coeliac disease.

Despite the small number new findings are reported with these well describe cases

Other comments

Title: title is to emphatic. 5 cases could not resume research of millennium

Abstract: conclusion should be nuanced as there is only 5 cases

Discussion:

1) It is very interesting that even poor prognostic patient (N2) according to the literature have a good prognosis in this study. That should be pointed out

2) The author recommand investigation for patient with CD to detect SBA. According to the low rate of SBA in CD (despite an elevated relative risk), the doubtfull about the adenoma to carcinoma sequence, the fact that in this study the majority of the cases had concomittent diagnosis of CD and SBA, and the lack of standardized repeated screening procedure it is difficult to made any recommandation about screening.


4) It is unclear that the new diagnostic tools allowed early diagnosis and better prognosis. A recent european study did not find a significant improvment of diagnosis and prognosis of SBA (Legue L, Acta Oncol 2016)

5) Overall conclusion should be nuanced as it is difficult to draw robust findings from 5 cases
Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.
Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.
Unable to assess

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.
No

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.
Not relevant to this manuscript

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
Needs some language corrections before being published
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