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Dear Cecilia Devoto,

Thank you very much for your letter dated 1- Feb -2017 and the reviewers' comments. Our manuscript entitled “Irinotecan-containing Doublet Treatment versus Irinotecan Monotherapy as Second-Line Choice for Advanced Gastric Cancer” has been carefully revised on the original manuscript according to the editor and reviewers' comments.

We have provided point-by-point responses to the reviewers' comments, and highlighted the changes within our revised manuscript by using red text.
Thank you very much for your nice help.

Sincerely yours,

Prof. Qin Li

Reviewers’ comments:

Bhavana Bhagya Rao (Reviewer 1): This is a well performed meta-analysis that compares two commonly employed second line therapies for management of advanced gastric cancer. The cumulative results are significant and will be of interest to the readership of this journal. No significant revisions advised. Minor spelling errors (significant spelled as dignificant) etc were noted.

Response: We thank the reviewer for this suggestion. And the spelling error (significant spelled as dignificant) has been modified according to the comments (line 160, page 7).

Quadri Alabi (Reviewer 2): The abstract, introduction, methods and conclusions are appropriate. The article need minor grammatical correction. Line 161, the dignifican should corrected. This is a good finding that contributed more to the existing knowledge about this high rising issues of advance gastric cancer and chemotherapies.

Response: We thank the reviewer for this suggestion. The dignificant has been corrected to significant (line 160, page 7). The grammatical and some other errors have been modified in the text, and the grammatical corrections are shown as follows: line 170, page 7; line 192, page 8; line 200, page 9; line 206, page 9; line 219, page 9.