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Reviewer’s report:

Re: Fitz-Hugh-Curtis syndrome associated with tuberculous salpingitis and peritonitis:

A case presentation and review of literature by Laura and Frederik.

The authors present a case of Fitz-Hugh-Curtis syndrome, associated with tuberculous salpingitis and peritonitis. Overall, the report is well-written and presented succinctly. Even though, similar case reports have been reported earlier from several different investigators, the authors claim that this case was associated with ascites, which, was unique and not reported earlier. The case report adds to the existing literature if published in BMC Gastroenterology. The authors are asked to note the following concerns as noted below:

1. Retroperitoneal lymph node biopsy is something that the authors did not consider before performing a laparoscopy. Since, laparoscopy may not be feasible in all the cases, is there any specific reason for avoiding the retroperitoneal lymph node biopsy to look for tuberculous nodules in this case?

2. Figure 1 a, b: Indicate the lesions using arrow heads. What does 'H' indicate in figure 1a?

3. Figures, 2 and 3: Since, both these figures represent almost the same lesions, authors should make these as a single figure. Or, instead delete figure 3.

4. Page 2, line 19, typo: 'tuberculine' should be 'tuberculin'.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.
Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

Not relevant to this manuscript

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Acceptable

Declaration of competing interests
Please complete a declaration of competing interests, considering the following questions:

1. Have you in the past five years received reimbursements, fees, funding, or salary from an organisation that may in any way gain or lose financially from the publication of this manuscript, either now or in the future?

2. Do you hold any stocks or shares in an organisation that may in any way gain or lose financially from the publication of this manuscript, either now or in the future?

3. Do you hold or are you currently applying for any patents relating to the content of the manuscript?

4. Have you received reimbursements, fees, funding, or salary from an organization that holds or has applied for patents relating to the content of the manuscript?
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If you can answer no to all of the above, write 'I declare that I have no competing interests' below. If your reply is yes to any, please give details below.
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