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Reviewer's report:
Overall it is a well written manuscript. Congratulations to the authors.
the following minor comments need to be considered

1. Result = There were 724 female patients (63%) and 424 male patients (37%). (This is know by
default - better you show sex ratio)
2. Result = Consequently, the presence of a type A diverticulum
was associated with a statistically significant higher rate of primary CBDS recurrence, with a RR
of 2.3.=== if RR is relative risk it is not the write statistics. in retrospective study better to
use OR. Similar comments in the other sites where RR was used
3. Discussion= the need for open CBD exploration and enterohepatic (choledochodoudenostomy
) in patients with CBD diameter of >15mm can make comparison of different options of
management complete

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.
Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.
Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.
Yes
Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?

If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics

**Quality of written English**

Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
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