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This is the first meta-analysis to demonstrate the comparative effectiveness of prokinetic in FD. In my view point, only some points to be considered including the discrimination of FD, the different period of time enrolled in this study (Rome I, II, and III) for FD which may influence the diagnosis of FD and treatment outcome. Moreover, half of the studies enrolled in this study are from China (mainly itopride studies) which were not published in English language might have some effects to the study in terms of understanding the study design, statistical and result. Finally, I accepted this manuscript without revision.
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