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Reviewer's report:

- What are the added value of your current study with previous study done by Hiyama et al (2006) entitled "Meta analysis of the effects of prokinetic agents in patients with functional dyspepsia". Please explain and justify.

- page 3 line 13, when first used "ORs", please spell up in full eg., odd ratio (OR)

- please state the reason why Bayesian meta-analysis was used in this study and not the classical meta-analysis you can run in RevMan was used?

- Table 2, please be consistent with the decimal used.

- Table 3, please indicate what are the value within the table? OR? 95%CI?

- can you assess heterogeneity of your included studies?

- Data extraction form used in this study should be attached as appendix or supplement.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes
Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I recommend additional statistical review

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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