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Prokinetics for the Treatment of Functional Dyspepsia: Bayesian Network Meta-analysis

Dear Editors and Reviewers

First of all, authors appreciate the editors’ and reviewers’ thoughtful and helpful comments. Also, we are pleased to have an opportunity to make this paper to be an even better one and to be accepted with major revision, because the editors and reviewers provided additional important points that we haven’t realized before.

Here, we are submitting the revised manuscript that addresses several concerns of the editors and reviewers. We have included the changes as recommended by the editors and reviewers in the revised manuscript (colored as red).

We hope that this paper will now be considered for publication.
We thank you for your time and look forward to your reply.

Sincerely yours.

Chang Seok Bang, M.D., Ph.D.
Department of Internal Medicine, Hallym University College of Medicine, Chuncheon Sacred Heart Hospital, Sakju-ro 77, Chuncheon, Gangwon-do, 24253, Republic of Korea.
Tel: +82-33-240-5821
Fax: +82-33-241-8064
E-mail: csbang@hallym.ac.kr

Manuscript ID BMGE-D-17-00013
Prokinetics for the Treatment of Functional Dyspepsia: Bayesian Network Meta-analysis

Point-to-point responses
Reviewer 3
1. Abstract: in the last sentence FD is written in full, please change into FD

First, the authors wish to thank to the reviewer for offering careful comments.

R1. The abstract was corrected as reviewer’s suggestion (colored red). Thanks again for giving us an helpful comment.

2. The added sentence about previously published meta-analysis needs to be adjusted since it is not clearly written

We appreciate the reviewer’s thoughtful comment.
R2. This sentence was corrected as ‘Moreover, previously published pairwise meta-analyses were conducted by examining several drugs with different mechanisms of action as a single group, which cannot present the efficacy of each prokinetic agent.’ (colored red). Thanks again for giving us an helpful comment.

3. M&M: please include that papers of other languages were translated using commercial translation services.

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

We appreciate the reviewer’s helpful comment.

R3. Following sentence was added in the methodology section (colored red); ‘The language of publication was not restricted and all publications except Korean and English were translated using commercial translation service.’ Thanks again for your thoughtful comment.

4. The resolution of the figures is still very low and the legends could include some more information as well.

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

We appreciate the reviewer’s thoughtful comment.

R4. Legend were added in the manuscript (colored red) and the dpi of Fig. 3 was improved (300 dpi -> 600 dpi). If the resolution of the figure I submitted is still a problem, I can submit the original file that the editor can edit. Thanks to reviewer again for important comment.

Authors appreciate the editors’ and reviewers’ thoughtful and helpful comments again.