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Reviewer’s report:

In the Abstract and results section state that there were 13 cases of PJS, of which 12 had gene testing and 11 showed a mutation.

Add reference, page 4 sentence 20

Page 5, line 23 substitute 'survey' for surveil.

Page 6, lines 26 - 34, provinces are in "eastern China; southwest China, northwest China"

It's unclear if case 11 or 13 did not receive gene testing for example as stated on page 7; bottom of page 8.

Page 8, sentences 29 through 44, this is part of Discussion and not part of Results section.

Page 11, second paragraph, sentence 29 'presentation of the macula' and sentence line 38, 'Polyps in the gastro... don't make sense. Line 33 substitute 'initial clinical presentation' for initial syndrome. Also paragraph 2, page 11 could be combined with information on page 10

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

Not relevant to this manuscript

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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