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Reviewer's report:
The authors did not acknowledged the possibility of gerd due to an increased transdiaphragmatic pressure gradient. They assume gerd occurs only due to a defective barrier. This may not be true in patients with pulmonary diseases as shown by the own results of the manuscript.
Thank you for your suggestion. We acknowledge this point and have adjusted in the paper.

For several decades, esophageal specialists have postulated that the altered respiratory mechanics in patients with end-stage lung disease may cause the reflux. Specifically, increases in positive intra-abdominal pressure and negative intrathoracic pressure (with a corresponding increase in the transdiaphragmatic pressure gradient) could cause a gradient favoring reflux [23,24].
The manuscript, after revision, became too long, confusing, discuss unrelated topics and language is really poor.
And we ask for a native English speaking editor to help us copyedit the paper.

Editor’s suggestion

1) Professional copyediting:
And we ask for a native English speaking editor to help us copyedit the paper.

2) Change 'Aim' to 'Background' in Abstract.

3) Please include all authors email address in title page.

4) Please change 'Introduction' to 'Background' in main manuscript.

5) Please separate 'Conclusions' section.

6) Please use initials in "Author’s Contributions" section.

We acknowledge these points and have adjusted in the paper.