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Reviewer's report:

Dear Editor!

The paper of Eiji Sand collaborators has a real clinical interest.

Beside this fact several question remain to clear up.

These are: whether an overnight fasting was enough for obtaining clear pictures from the whole small bowel? How the author may explain the bleeding detection rate, not higher then 42.6%. 22 patient were enrolled in the group receiving endoscopis treatment, but 46 patients received not, and why? In patient with rebleeding the rate of haematocaeasia or melena was high. It requires more data and explanation. Eg. : how was the correlation between the bleeding location detected during the first investigation with CE and during the rebleeding?

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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