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Reviewer comments to: Evidence for deprescription in primary care through an umbrella review

Thank you for addressing the comments raised by the 2 reviewer. Nevertheless, there are still some comments I would like to point out. No. 1: The background still appears not very elaborated. The first paragraph seems out of place. Further, why do you define polypharmacy as >4 drugs. Even if there is no official definition it is not true that it is usually defined as >4 drugs. Even the reference that you put after this sentence (Scott et al.) defines polypharmacy as 5 or more drugs. So does the WHO. Please change this. At the end of the background there is no statement that an overview like this umbrella review is lacking. Why is there a need to write this review? No. 2: In the result section about line 27: What does 'There were Nn differences …' mean? No. 3: On top of the second page of the result section the abbreviation CI is misspelled two times (IC).
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