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I agree with the comments from reviewer 2 and would recommend to:

- skip the first paragraph (line 2-7) and reformulate the second paragraph as a starting point.

Response: According to the editor comments. The first paragraph was deleted.

- Correct the grammar spellings mentioned in comments 2 and 3

Response: Ok

- The definition used (>4 drugs) is in line with the literature and does not need to be changed

Response: Ok

- Please include a short explanation why an umbrella review is needed. You already explained this when answering the reviewer comments in the first round.

Response: a brief explanation was introduced in the last paragraph of introduction’s section. Next to the reviewer comments there are still some language problems. For example: P5 L1 "being this result similar"P9 L10 "are proved to be"

Response: Following the instructions of the reviewer, the indicated term has been modified. To further improve the readability of the article I would recommend to check the article by a native speaker.

Response: following your recommendations, an English native person has reviewed the article.