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Author’s response to reviews:
Response to Editor’s comments

Comment: 1. In the trial registration section of the abstract, please also state the registry that this trial is registered under, as well as a statement regarding the retrospective registration of this manuscript.
Response: We have added the name of the registry to the abstract, we have also added a statement regarding the registration of the study.

Comment: 2. Please clarify whether any administrative permissions were required to access the raw data. Please state who granted permission in the “Ethics approval and consent to participate” section.
Response: The Caldicott Guardian of each participating practice gave consent to the data extraction from primary care. This has been added to the Ethics section.

Comment: 3. In the “Ethics approval and consent to participate” section, please clarify if all methods of consent in this study were approved by the ethics committee.
Response: We have clarified that all methods of consent were approved by the Ethics Committee in the ethics section.

Comment: 4. As the Authors’ information section is optional and as this section currently advises "Not applicable", please remove this section.
Response: This section has now been removed.

Comment: 5. Please clarify whether any administrative permissions were required to access the raw data from ... If so please state who granted permission in the “Ethics approval and consent to participate” section.
Response: Duplicate of comment 2, see above response.

Comment: 6. Please remove the SPIRIT checklist, as it is no longer required at this stage.
Response: We have removed the SPIRIT checklist.

Comment: 7. Please ensure that all supplementary files are cited in the body of the manuscript.
Response: We have now removed the supplementary files.

Comment: 8. At this stage, please upload your manuscript as a single, final, clean version that does not contain any tracked changes, comments, highlights, strikethroughs or text in different colours. All relevant tables/figures/additional files should also be clean versions. Figures (and additional files) should remain uploaded as separate files.
Response: We have uploaded a clean version of the final manuscript and figures.