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Antwerp, 26th August 2019

Jean-Francois Chenot

BMC Family Practice

Dear Sir,

We are very pleased that you are considering publication of our manuscript ID FAMP-D-19-00249R1 “An educational study to investigate the efficacy of three training methods for infiltration techniques on self-efficacy and skills of trainees in general practice.” provided we are able to make the required modifications.

Below we explain how we carried out the essential revisions asked. We also send you the revised manuscript hoping that the revised version will be acceptable for publication in BMC Family Practice. We look forward to your response.

Most sincerely,

Nele R. Michels

University of Antwerp, Faculty of Medicine
Editor Comments and responses of the authors

1. Please provide a List of abbreviations after the Conclusions section. If abbreviations are used in the text, they should be defined in the text at first use and included in this list.

--> We made a List of abbreviations and checked the manuscript again on abbreviations used. All used abbreviations are now defined in the text too.

2. Please state in the Methods section the source of the cadavers used in your study.

--> Thanks for this valuable comment. We added a sentence referring to the source of the cadavers used in the Method section, p.6 line 18-21.

3. Please include a statement regarding participant consent in the Ethics approval and consent to participate section of the Declarations.

--> At p.14 (Declarations of interest statement) we added the following sentence: “All participants gave informed consent to participate.”

4. Please remove the conflict of interest statement from the Authors’ contributions section, as this information should only be included in the competing interests section.

--> As you requested, we removed the statement from the Author’s contribution section.
5. Please state whether you have received permission to publish the images included in Additional File 1.

--> On 26th of August we have received explicit permission (by phone and by e-mail) to publish the images of the anatomical models.

6. At this stage, please upload your manuscript as a single, final, clean version that does not contain any tracked changes, comments, highlights, strikethroughs or text in different colors. All relevant tables/figures/additional files should also be clean versions. Figures (and additional files) should remain uploaded as separate files.

--> We uploaded a clean version of the manuscript, of one figure, and of the two additional files.