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A global picture of family medicine: the view from a WONCA Storybooth

Thank you for the occasion to review this paper that aimed to explore a global view on Family Medicine (FM) during a WONCA World conference.

The paper is clear and concise and of interest for readers of BMC Family Practice. Below are some points to consider:

1. Recruitment
   The reader needs a bit more info here. How did you recruit participants and what where the criteria to be eligible (e.g. practicing GP?). It would be worthwhile to add 1-2 sentences to provide more details. Maybe some declined because they did not want to be video recorded? Or did not speak one of the four languages?

2. As you have >60% responses from male participants one asks if gender bias affects the results.

3. How was confidentiality handled? Where the videos made available online? If so, I would think that impacts the message your participants gave in contrast to an anonymous response.

4. Can you provide some more information on the participants? Age? Years or experience? Something that can be put in a Table 1?

5. Are you able to give stratified results for gender and/or region? I appreciate your global view on the topic but it would be interesting to know more.
Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

No

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

Not relevant to this manuscript

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
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