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Reviewer's report:

Dear Editor,

Thank you for asking me to review the article entitled 'Dissonant views - GPs' and parents' perspectives on antibiotic prescribing for young children with respiratory tract infections'.

Overall the manuscript has been well written. It explores an important concept of the role of antibiotics in the management of respiratory tract infections from both a GP and a parent's perspective.

I have the following suggestions for the researchers.

Methods
The method of recruiting the parents should be described in more detail. It was not clear if the parents were recruited from the same GP practices. It is important to know if the demographics of the GP practices reflect similar patterns to the parents that were enrolled in the study. This should be clarified.

The reference for the recruitment and sampling framework was withheld from review. This reference should have been included.

The name of the Ethics committee was withheld. This should have been included in the manuscript.

Results
Would recommend a summary table of the demographics for both the GPs and parents be included. The demographics of the GP practice should also include more information about the patient population such as: the socio-economic status of the patients as well as the average consultation time for a short consult.

Discussion
Good summary of the results.
Limitations of the study should also include if parents came from a different demographic population to the GP's practice setting.
Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

Not relevant to this manuscript

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
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