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Reviewer's report:

The authors have reacted very adequately on my comments. Only some minor remarks and a few questions are left:

1. In the BACKGROUND section is stated that stress incontinence is the most prevalent subtype of incontinence. I doubt if that is correct: many studies have shown that mixed incontinence is the most prevalent type (but of course: mixed incontinence is partly stress incontinence). ref. Melville, et al. Urinary incontinence in US women: a population-based study. Archives of Internal Medicine 2005; 165(5), 537-542.

2. Also in the BACKGROUND section: Only 15 % of women with SUI seek help. Is that percentage not too low? As far as I know, 30 % - 50 % of the women with urinary incontinence seek help.

3. On page 4 lines 53-59 and page 5 line 1-7 the evidence on the effectiveness of e-Health interventions for UI is summarized. Unfortunately, the authors only give information on one trial, with an internet based program (Sjostrom). What was the result of the App based study by Asklund? And the third study by Barbato was a small (n=34) observational study, with a web-based intervention (not an App as is suggested in line 4 on page 5. where the authors write about two studies using a mobile phone application). The way of recruiting participants for these three studies differs from the way a GP would use an e-Health application for his/her patients. So, in my opinion, we do not have firm evidence on the effectiveness of e-Health for UI in general practice. And especially not on the effectiveness in elderly women. That is why I do not agree with the remark in the CONCLUSION (page 15 line 29-31: These perceived shortcomings are in contrast with the literature on eHealth for SUI. The research on eHealth for SUI is not yet convincing enough. We have to be more cautious in drawing conclusions.
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