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Author’s response to reviews:

Dear editorial board,

Thank you very much for the review of our manuscript entitled: ‘Trends in antimicrobial management of gonorrhoea by general practitioners in Amsterdam, the Netherlands, between 2010 and 2015’.

We are grateful for the comments and suggestions of the reviewers, and we include a point-by-point reply. The revised version of the manuscript contains all revisions described below. We hope that the current version of the manuscript has become suitable for publication in BMC Family Practice.

Sincerely yours,

Roos van Amerongen, MD

dr. Roel P. Gazendam, MD
Prof. dr. Jan E.A.M. van Bergen, MD
rsvanamerongen@gmail.com
rgazendam@gmail.com
jvanbergen@soaaids.nl

Editor Comments:
1. Please include the email addresses of all authors on the title page of your manuscript.

We added the email addresses of the missing authors on the title page.

2. We noticed that the Authors’ contributions section contains initials that do not correspond with any authors in the authors’ list. Please amend this section so that only those in the list of authors are included. Please note that if you are adding or removing any authors from the list of authors, you will need to complete an authorship change form, available here: https://www.biomedcentral.com/getpublished/editorial-policies#authorship

We removed the initials that do not correspond with the authors in the authors' list. The concerning people were mentioned in acknowledgements.

3. If completion of the survey was considered implied consent to participate, please clearly state this in the Ethics approval section of the Declarations.

We agree with the editor and clarified this in the Ethics approval section.

4. In the Ethics section of the Methods and the Declarations, please reference the specific legislation or guidelines that state your study did not need approval from an ethics committee.

We clarified this section.

5. At this stage, please upload your manuscript as a single, final, clean version that does not contain any tracked changes, comments, highlights, strikethroughs or text in different colors. All relevant tables/figures/additional files should also be clean versions. Figures (and additional files) should remain uploaded as separate files.

We uploaded the manuscript and figures as clean versions.