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Reviewer’s report:

It is an interesting and useful study

I hope the following comments are useful

* Page 7, what did "the fourth patient profile" mean. Could the author please explain it?

* Page 9, Could the authors please explain "more active case detection" How did the continence nurses detect patients with incontinence?

* It would be better to have more descriptions of Markov model

* Are there any specific reasons for choosing year 2030 as a case scenario?

* The effectiveness estimation of this study was based on the RCT by Subak. Are the study findings of Subak really generalizable to this current study? The study by Subak only recruited subjects with urinary incontinence. In the present study, four types of incontinence (such as faecal incontinence) were included.

* More interpretations of QALY should be provided.

* More details about the nursing interventions in the current study should be mentioned.

* The generalizability of the study findings to other populations should be discussed more.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?

If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?

If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

**Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?**
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I recommend additional statistical review

**Quality of written English**
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
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