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Reviewer's report:

Thank you for the opportunity to review this well-written manuscript which explains an online education program for accurate BP measurement. I have a few comments/questions:

Background:

- The 3rd and 4th paragraphs on sustainability, institutionalisation and routinisation are too detailed and interrupt the flow of this section, suggest reducing it to a single paragraph

Methods:

- It is not clear how sustainability of the intervention was measured, given it is one of the two main aims of this manuscript

Discussion:

- Does this study add any new knowledge to this area? If not, what is the significance of the outcome of this study?

Tables:

- 'Time at practice site' would be a better header than 'years at practice site' in Table 1 (since some participants have been there for less than a year)

- Table 3 is presented before table 2

General:

- Some spelling errors and the style of English (American to British) need to be modified
Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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