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Reviewer’s report:

Dear Authors

Thank you for your answer and significant work and changes in your manuscript. I appreciate the revision according to my comments Nr 3-12 (Comments one and two were only general remarks).

-Discretionary Revisions-
However I would like to ask you to include your comments on remark n.7 ....

("We agree that the number of 300 requests send per week was indeed relatively low. However, in interpreting this number it is important to realize that the different domains/disease areas do not result in different alerts each. Rather, the advices are combined in one alert, consisting of an alert window with different tab pages for all relevant domains/disease areas."

....... in the discussion section- as the number is an indicator of actual implementation and as your additional information was quite helpful.

All in all I recommend for publication.

Kind regards,

Kathrin Schlößler

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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