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Reviewer's report:

This paper introduced a process evaluation carried out for a specific CDSS. The paper used strict evaluation method and analyzed the factors affecting CDSS.

Minor Essential Revisions

# Line 100: Why "admission" can be used to assess the effectiveness of CDSS. Do the authors mean "readmission"?

# Following lines have some grammar mistakes.
Line 128: change to "NHGDoc Basic functions" or "Basic functions of NHGDoc"
Line 137: change to "NHGDoc Personalization functions" or "Personalization functions of NHGDoc"

Discretionary Revisions

# In this paper, most collected data are from questionnaires. More actual usage data from system logs could be more preferred.

In keyword part, "implementation" may be too vague as a keyword.
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