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Author's response to reviews: see over
Dear editor,

We have addressed the editorial request in the revised manuscript and provided a response below.

We hope that you will find our revised manuscript acceptable for publication and look forward to hearing from you.

Yours sincerely,

on behalf of all authors,

Marjolein Lugtenberg
Response: Informed written consent was obtained from all practices: each general practice filled out an online registration form in which they agreed with the design of the study and the use of NHGDoc server data as described on the website (www.nhgdoc-evaluation.nl) and gave consent on behalf of all practice staff (GPs and PNs). Responding to the questionnaire was voluntary and again information was provided on handling the data in terms of confidentiality and anonymity. We have added this information to the Methods section of our manuscript (Methods section p. 8/9; line 195-200).