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Author’s response to reviews:

Dear Eloisa Nolasco

Please find attached the revised version of our manuscript as well as the requested document.

We added the following sentence to the Methods section of the manuscript (p.8):
The need for ethical approval for the NHGDoc evaluation study was waived by the research ethics committee of the Radboud university medical center. In addition, we attached a copy of the written approval of the ethics committee.

We look forward to receiving your reply.

Yours sincerely,

Marjolein Lugtenberg