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Dear Professor McKinstry,

We thank you very much for the opportunity to revise our manuscript. Furthermore, we would like to thank the reviewers for reviewing our manuscript ‘Determinants of change in a practice accreditation program in primary care: a qualitative study’. We carefully considered the comments of the reviewers. In this letter we respond point by point to the comments and describe the changes made in the manuscript.

Editors request:

1. Competing interests:
   Please include a ‘Competing interests’ section between the Conclusions and Authors' contributions.
   
   We added a ‘Competing interests’ section as requested, lines 454-455.

2. Authors' contributions:
   Please include an Authors' contributions section before the Acknowledgements and Reference list.
   
   In lines 457-461, we added a section which considers the contributions of all authors.

3. Acknowledgements:
   We strongly encourage you to include an Acknowledgements section between the Authors contributions section and Reference list.
   
   As suggested, we added an Acknowledgements section in lines 463-465.

4. Please include the Funding information in Acknowledgement section.
   
   We included Funding information in the Acknowledgement section.

We again would like to thank you for reviewing our manuscript. We hope that you will find it acceptable for publication in your journal.
On behalf of all authors,
Yours sincerely,

Dr. Elvira Nouwens