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Reviewer's report:

The author are to be congratulated for a good work and an interesting paper. I have some comments that the authors may wish to consider.

Major compulsory revisions

1. The methods section needs more detail to allow full evaluation, ie what statistical methods were used to compare distribution of antimicrobial persistence?

Minor Essential Revisions

2. Table 1 data could be better structured, ie why not group related values together, ie mean and sd, median and IQR?

3. The authors may also wish to look at some recent publications in antibiotics and papr

Discretionary revisions

3 The authors may wish to comment about the impact of drop-out on study outcome?
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